

**San Bernardino Community College District
Resource Allocation Committee
Meeting Notes – May 17, 2010
MEETING NOTES**

In Attendance: Matthew Isaac, Matthew Lee, Colleen Gamboa, Scott Rippy, Charlie Ng, Gloria M. Harrison, Aimee Marshall, Jim Hansen, Deb Daniels, Troy Sheffield, Renee Brunelle, Ben Gamboa, Penny Ongoco.

Bruce discussed changes that he made to the budget model to allow more revenue to be allocated to the campuses. These changes were in the categories of Lottery Funds, Interest Income, and Other Campus Revenue. Rather than allocate 90% of the 2008-2009 final revenue, he is allocating 100% of the projected 2010-2011 revenue. This has provided \$427,689 in additional income to Valley and \$275,359 in additional income to CHC.

The issue was raised about the potential for inequity if one college goes above the funded FTES base and changes the allocation percentage. It was suggested that the current 70%/30% split be considered the baseline percentage for the allocation of state apportionment funding until such time as it changes either through a planned growth or decrease of state funding that would change that baseline percentage. It was agreed that any FTES that a campus earns above the current baseline would be a choice that would not receive additional budget funding. The Committee agreed to further study this issue next academic year during the evaluation cycle after the current model is utilized for the final 2010-2011 fiscal year budget.

The Committee reviewed the written comments that were submitted by Crafton Hills College during the budget model feedback process. The Committee asked that the PDC be given its own column as a separate assessment and not part of the District Office assessment since it's more like a separate program similar to KVCR.

The Committee voted unanimously to approve the budget model for 2010-2011 as amended at this meeting and to evaluate the model next academic year under the District-Wide Budget Committee.

Bruce stated that the Resource Allocation Committee's work on the new budget model was complete and that it would not be dealing with the budget model any longer. The budget model evaluation would be passed to the District-Wide Budget Committee. The Resource Allocation Committee would need to meet in the early fall only to review a revised version of the Human Resources Staffing Plan.

The discussion turned to the current draft of the HR Staffing Plan. A debate ensued about what the plan should look like with Troy Sheffield suggesting that the Plan as it currently exists does not adequately address the accreditation standards. Matthew Lee commented that it meets the recommendation and the standards are being met in other documents such as the HR Program Review. The Plan will be further reviewed and the standards related to evaluation will be incorporated into the Plan as well as the Program Review.

The meeting was adjourned.