## **San Bernardino Community College District**

## **District-Wide Budget Committee**

Meeting Notes - January 14, 2010

DRAFT FN of

**In Attendance:** Bruce Baron, Walter Chatfield, Larry Ciecalone, Rebecca Gamboa, Jim Hansen, Glen Kuck, Charlie Ng, Penny Ongoco, Karen Peterson, Scott Rippy, Odette Salvaggio, Peter-John Stanskas, Steve Sutorus, Gloria Harrison

Bruce discussed the highlights from the Governor's budget for 2010-2011. The picture for the state looks bleak. The Governor projects a budget shortfall of almost \$20 billion between the current and the next fiscal years. A handout was circulated summarizing the state and the community college budget proposals. The Governor is proposing a 2.2% enrollment growth which we be about \$1.3 million for SBCCD. He is also proposing a negative COLA of -.38% which would be a cut of about \$320,000 for SBCCD. Additional reductions in EOPS is recommended which would be about \$120,000 for SBCCD and a reduction in part-time faculty compensation which would be about \$119,000 for SBCCD. There would be no restoration of 2009-2010 categorical program reductions. Student fees would remain at \$26 per unit.

There was discussion about the new SERP and what the process would be to determine priorities for filling positions. It was stated that we would need to keep track of where we stood on the 50% law. There was discussion that the 50% law would be suspended by the Board of Governors but it is still a law and after the "grace period" ended we would still be expected to be in compliance.

Bruce handed out an updated saving plan for 2009-2010 which showed that we achieved a savings of \$3,700,080 with a balance of \$56,205 ctill to be saved. Also, there was a one time expenditure from the reserve balance to fully fund the prior SERP (\$2,100,000), fully fund the categorical contract staff commitment (\$800,000) and to fully fund the former Chancellor's annuity for contractual lifetime health benefits (\$200,000).

The group reviewed the revised draft of the District Budget Committee section of Administrative Procedure 2225 – Collegial Consultation. A small change in wording was recommended to say "to ensure that procedures are responsive to strategic priorities as determined by campuses and the District Office. We also looked at the membership again and added one manager from each campus. This will now go to the District Assembly for review.