



Date: April 16, 2014

- To: District Budget Committee
- From: Enrollment Management Task Force 1

Subject: Recommendation to District Budget Committee on Resource Allocation Model (RAM) Guidelines for Fiscal Years 2014-15 and 2015-16

We feel that changes to the RAM Guidelines for FY 2014-15 and 2015-16 should be implemented in order for the district to begin a systematic approach to addressing critical issues identified in the October 2014 Collaborative Brain Trust report on Enrollment Management for the San Bernardino Community College District.

Overview

In October 2014, the Collaborative Brain Trust (CBT) completed a report on enrollment management for the San Bernardino Community College District. That report focuses on four specific issues:

- 1. Development of a recommendation on full time equivalent student (FTES) goal distribution between the two colleges over the next five years.
- 2. Evaluation of the district's external data to be sure that FTES goal distribution recommendation is realistic.
- 3. Development of recommendations on how to increase instructional productivity (weekly student contact hours (WSCH)/full time equivalent faculty (FTEF).
- 4. Assessment of the processes and policies each college uses to manage its schedule and course offerings.

Task Force 1 & the District Budget Committee

In response to the CBT report, the Chancellor has formed two task forces:

- <u>Task Force 1</u> is charged with developing a recommendation on FTES goal distribution between the two colleges.
- <u>Task Force 2</u> is charged with developing an enrollment management database system consistent among both colleges.

In order to maintain a collegial process, Task Force 1 includes members from both colleges. These members have had discussions with their respective budget committees, academic senate presidents and academic senates. These recommendations have not been presented to District Assembly yet because we feel this is the charge of the District Budget Committee.

Task Force 1 reviewed the CBT report and identified two major issues that require immediate attention in order for our district to avoid deficit spending at one of its colleges.

- 1. "CHC is in the midst of a building program that will add over 46,000 ASF of instructional space (9,206 ASF of lecture and 36,953 of laboratory), increase their instructional capacity by 50% (37% increase in lecture and 86% increase in laboratory) and cause the college to incur an estimated new annual operating cost of \$725,000 for maintenance and operations of the new buildings."
- 2. Even after CHC and the District implement the recommended actions, "it is probable that CHC is simply too small to support its operating expenses. Therefore, it is necessary to either increase their revenues by increasing their funded FTES so that they can capture economies of scale and balance their budget, or the district should alter their allocation model to provide an ongoing "subsidy" (or simply additional funding) to CHC."

Proposed recommendations to the RAM Guidelines for FY 2014-15

As you already know, any modifications to the RAM Guidelines will most likely shift funded credit FTES from one college to another. Because of this, we recommend that a baseline be established at the end of this fiscal year based on the following forecast and adjustments.

San Bernardino Valley College

Recommend that projected FYE 2014-15 credit FTES of 10,100 be fully funded, accomplishing the following:

- 1) Recuperation of credit FTES lost during the previous modification to 2014-15 RAM Guidelines.
- 2) Funding for 2% growth goal.
- 3) Funding for unfunded credit FTES.

Crafton Hills College

Recommend that 4,182 of the projected 4,589 FYE 2014-15 credit FTES be funded, accomplishing the following:

- 1) Return of credit FTES gained during the previous modification to the 2014-15 RAM Guidelines.
- 2) Funding for 2% growth goal.
- 3) Funding for unfunded credit FTES from the expected additional State Overcap.
- 4) Crafton will carry all unfunded credit FTES for the district.
- 5) Crafton will have greater risk (no guarantee of state funding), but also potential for financial reward.

Proposed recommendations to the RAM Guidelines for FY 2015-16

The proposed recommendations to the RAM Guidelines for FY 2015-16 will only work if the District Budget Committee approves the proposed recommendations to the RAM Guidelines for FY2014-15 as outlined in the previous section.

San Bernardino Valley College

Recommend that projected FYE 2015-16 credit FTES of 10,302 be fully funded (based on FY 2014-15 FTES of 10,100 plus Governor's proposed 2% growth of 202). This accomplishes the following:

- 1) Funding for 2% growth goal.
- 2) Continued funding for unfunded credit FTES.

Crafton Hills College

Recommend that projected FYE 2015-16 credit FTES of 4,266 be funded (based on FY 2014-15 FTES of 4,182 plus Governor's proposed 2% growth of 84). Crafton receives one-time subsidy from district reserves to carry 165 unfunded credit FTES. Furthermore, they will receive all State Overcap funding (if any) since they are carrying all the unfunded credit FTES for the district. This accomplishes four goals:

- 1) Funding for 2% growth goal.
- 2) Funding for unfunded credit FTES from the expected State Overcap.
- 3) They carry all unfunded credit FTES for the district.
- 4) They will have a greater risk with no guarantee of funding by the state, but with the potential of financial reward.

Next steps

Task Force 1 will be recommending that the Chancellor establish a Districtwide Enrollment Management Committee with membership recommendations from the District Budget Committee and District Assembly in order to develop a Districtwide Enrollment Management Plan by next fiscal year. Our recommendation is for District Budget Committee to incorporate FTES projections and goals into the multi-year RAM forecast. We have attached a proposed Districtwide Enrollment Management Multi-year Plan that this task force will present to the Chancellor for consideration.

Summary

This task force has reviewed the CBT report and the multi-year forecast and feels this distribution of credit FTES is the most comprehensive in systematically addressing the issues identified in the report. This recommendation:

- Provides clear goals and expectations from both colleges,
- Allows Valley College to continue growing,
- Shifts the risk of unfunded FTES to Crafton Hills College,
- Provides funding from the district, and
- Places the district in a position to recapture additional FTES that other districts cannot.

Finally, as long as the State continues to provide growth, this plan provides a clear timeline of three years for the district to address the issues identified by the CBT report.

JT/kg



Revised Resource Allocation Model (RAM) Guidelines Fiscal Year 2014-15

Revenues shall be divided between San Bernardino Valley College and Crafton Hills College, in accordance with the following principles. These guidelines accord best with the desired objectives of transparency, fairness, and ease of understanding; and have the flexibility to adjust to changing circumstances, without the need for extensive debate and readjustment every fiscal year.

- 1. The SB361 State Base Allocation revenue for each college shall be passed directly on to the college concerned.
- 2. The district's State non-credit FTES allocation revenue shall be passed directly on to the college that produced the non-credit FTES.
- 3. The district's state credit FTES allocation revenue shall be divided between the two colleges as follows:

San Bernardino Valley College

Crafton Hills College

- I. 10,100 total projected funded FTES
- II. San Bernardino Valley College will carry any excess over 10,100 as Unfunded FTES
- I. 4,182 total projected funded FTES
 II. All district unfunded FTES will be carried by Crafton Hills College (projected is 407 unfunded FTES)
- 4. Overcap funding for credit FTES shall be divided between the two colleges as follows. (Overcap is the additional FTES the district could recapture if other districts do not grow enough during the year. It is usually known around February of each year at recalculation [Recalc].)

San Bernardino Valley College	Crafton Hills College
No additional Overcap funding since	Additional Overcap funding will be
San Bernardino Valley College will be fully	absorbed by Crafton Hills College since
funded for the credit FTES	all unfunded FTES are carried by Crafton

- 5. Other eligible revenues received by the district shall be divided between the two colleges in accordance with the relative FTES numbers achieved by the colleges as in item 3. above.
- 6. Site-specific revenues will remain with the college concerned.
- 7. District growth levels/targets may be recommended by District Budget Committee and approved/modified by the Chancellor's Cabinet.
- 8. Districtwide assessments shall be divided between the two colleges based on FY 2014-15 projected <u>actual</u> (not funded) FTES.

San Bernardino Valley College	
10,100 actual FTES	

Crafton Hills College 4,589 actual FTES



Revised Resource Allocation Model (RAM) Guidelines Fiscal Year 2015-16

Revenues shall be divided between the two colleges of the District, San Bernardino Valley College and Crafton Hills College, in accordance with the following principles. These guidelines accord best with the desired objectives of transparency, fairness, and ease of understanding; and have the flexibility to adjust to changing circumstances, without the need for extensive debate and readjustment every fiscal year.

- 1. The SB361 State Base Allocation revenue for each college shall be passed directly on to the college concerned.
- 2. The district's State non-credit FTES allocation revenue shall be passed directly on to the college that produced the non-credit FTES.
- 3. The district's state credit FTES allocation revenue shall be divided between the two colleges as follows:

San Bernardino Valley College

- I. 10,302 total projected funded FTES (projected FY 2014-15 Actual FTES of 10,100 FTES plus stated proposed Governor's FY 2015-16 budget of 2%)
- II. San Bernardino Valley College will carry any excess over 10,302 as unfunded FTES

Crafton Hills College

- 4,266 total projected funded FTES (projected FY 2014-15 actual FTES of 4,182 FTES plus stated proposed Governor's FY 2015-16 budget of 2%)
- II. All District Unfunded FTES will be carried by Crafton Hills College (projected is 407 unfunded FTES)
- III. District to fund unfunded FTES from fund balance
- 4. Overcap funding for credit FTES shall be divided between the two colleges as follows. (Overcap is the additional FTES the district could recapture if other districts do not grow enough during the year. It is usually known around February of each year at recalculation [Recalc].)

San Bernardino Valley College

No additional Overcap funding since San Bernardino Valley College will be fully funded for the credit FTES

Crafton Hills College

Additional Overcap funding will be absorbed by Crafton Hills College since all unfunded FTES are carried by Crafton

- 5. Other eligible revenues received by the district shall be divided between the two colleges in accordance with the relative FTES numbers achieved by the colleges as in item 3. above.
- 6. Site-specific revenues will remain with the college concerned.
- 7. District growth levels/targets may be recommended by District Budget Committee and approved/modified by the Chancellor's Cabinet.
- 8. Districtwide assessments shall be divided between the two colleges based on FY 2015-16 projected <u>actual</u> (not funded) FTES.

San Bernardino Valley College

10,302 actual FTES

4,727 actual FTES



Proposed District-Wide Enrollment Management: FTES Planning and Projections Plan

FY 2014-15

	EV 201E 1C	
Complete the enrollment management analysis (Collaborative Brain Trust)	FY 2015-16	
Establish task force 1 to create assumptions for FTES distribution for budget development	Chancellor to charge the District-Wide Enrollment Management Committee with goals for FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17
Colleges develop College-Specific enrollment projections and goals	District-Wide Enrollment Management Committee	District-Wide Enrollment Management Committee
Discuss FTES distribution assumption for budget development (Task force 1)	Management Committee roles against College Enrollment Management Committes roles	evaluates District-Wide Enrollment Mananagement Plan and makes changes if necessary
Forward recommended FTES distribution assumption for budget development to District Budget Committee (Task force 1)	District-Wide Enrollment Management Committee develops District-Wide Enrollment Management Plan incorporating the Strategic Plan, Educational	District-Wide Enrollment Management Committee presents outcomes through collegial cosultation
District Budget Committee recommends FTES distribution assumption for budget development to	Master Plans & Facilities Master Plans District-Wide Enrollment Management Committee	District-Wide Enrollment Management Committee updates District-Wide Enrollment Mananagement Plan
the Chancellor FTES distribution assumption for FY 15-16 budget is incorporated into Tentative & Final budget	presents outcomes through collegial cosultation District-Wide Enrollment Management Committee finalizes District-Wide Enrollment Mananagement	District-Wide Enrollment Management Committee forwards updated recommended FTES distribution assumptions for multi-year forecast to District Budget
Chancellor recommends FTES distribution assumptions for FY 15-16 Tentative and Final budget to Board of Trustees	Plan District-Wide Enrollment Management Committee forwards recommended FTES distribution	Committee District Budget Committee incorporates updated FTES projections into FY 16-17 Tentative & Final budget and multi-year forecast
Establish task force 2 to evaluate our enrollment management data system	assumptions for multi-year forecast to District Budget Committee	Chancellor recommends updted FTES distribution assumptions for FY 17-18 Tentative & Final budget and
Develop minimum requirements for enrollment management data system for Phase 1	District Budget Committee incorporates FTES projections into FY 16-17 Tentative & Final budget	multi-year forecast to Board of Trustees
Chancellor to establish District-Wide Enrollment Management Committee with membership recommendations from District Budget Committee & District Assembly	and multi-year forecast Chancellor recommends FTES distribution assumptions for FY 16-17 Tentative & Final budget and multi-year forecast to Board of Trustees	



In October 2014 the Collaborative Brain Trust (CBT) completed a report on enrollment management for the San Bernardino Community College District. That report focuses on four specific issues:

- Development of a recommendation on full time equivalent student (FTES) goal distribution between the two colleges over the next five years,
- Evaluation of the district's external data to be sure that FTES goal distribution recommendation is realistic,
- Development of recommendations on how to increase instructional productivity (weekly student contact hours (WSCH)/full time equivalent faculty (FTEF), and
- Assessment of the processes and policies each college uses to manage its schedule and course offerings.

The following is a timeline of the District's progress in the area of enrollment management to date.

November 2014	 CBT provided draft of report.
December 2014	 Ad hoc task force was formed December 4, including: College presidents; Academic Senate presidents; Vice presidents of instruction, administrative services, and student services; Chancellor; and Vice chancellor of business and fiscal services.
January 2015	 Ad hoc task force met with CBT. CHC Budget Committee discussed enrollment management growth, funding, FTES targets, and directions.
February 2015	 Fiscal Services met with the vice presidents of instruction and administrative services of both campuses. Crafton Hills: Budget Committee discussed direction of district enrollment management regarding potential growth and FTES targets. Administration provided updates to the Academic Senate on status of the enrollment management discussions on productivity, fill rates, growth and FTES targets for both campuses. San Bernardino Valley: Vice president of administrative services presented to Budget Committee: Preview of Enrollment Management Plan and Budget Forecasts – Discussion.

	 Vice president of instruction met with deans to discuss enrollment management plan, and solicited input from instructional deans on FTES projection and strategies to construct the initial draft document. Vice president of instruction led further discussion on FTES projection and strategies with instructional deans and Academic Senate president; then met with vice presidents of administrative services and student services, reviewed the draft document, solicited input and updated document to include input. Draft of "Enrollment Management for FTES Projection through 2017-2018 and Strategies" was shared at president's cabinet for input and final approval; final version then submitted to interim vice chancellor of business and fiscal services. Vice president of administrative services presented to managers meeting: Enrollment Management/Resource Allocation Plan and Multi-Year Forecast Impact on SBVC- Q&A. Vice presidents of administrative services and instruction collaborated on multi-year FTES projections and strategy to meet the enrollment management plan targets. Management engaged in various president's cabinet discussions.
March + 2015	Ad hoc task force met and discussed centralization of enrollment support system and draft model.
•	Crafton Hills vice president of instruction met with assorted shared governance committees to discuss enrollment management plan.
•	 San Bernardino Valley: Vice president of administrative services presented to Academic Senate and Budget Committee: Enrollment Management/ Resource Allocation Plan and Multi-Year Forecast Impact on SBVC- Q&A. Budget Committee members shared the enrollment management/ resource allocation plan with constituencies.

The timeline of activities going forward is as follows.

April 2015	 Draft enrollment management model will be presented to District Budget Committee for approval Enrollment management model will be incorporated into the budget development process and resource allocation model Formal districtwide enrollment management subcommittee to the District Budget Committee to be formed
May 2015	 Enrollment management model will be presented to the Board of Trustees with the preliminary budget

	А	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	Ν	0	Р	Q
1	San Bernar	dino Comm	unity Colle	ge District			•						
2	Multi-Year	Forecast	-	_				Enrol	lment Mana	gement Task	Force: FTES	S Planning 8	Projection
			iscal Year b	vlocation						0			
4	operating	itesuits by i		y Location									
4													
6						San Be	rnardino Valle	ey College					
7			-2016		-2017		7-2018		8-2019	2019-2			-2021
8	Draft #	Excess (Deficit)	Fund	Excess (Deficit)	Fund	Excess (Deficit)	Fund Balance	Excess (Deficit)	Fund	Excess (Deficit)	Fund Balance	Excess (Deficit)	Fund
9	EM	(Deficit) \$ 122,644	Balance \$ 3,635,415		Balance \$ 3,761,566			\$ 240,374	Balance \$ 4,033,813	403,042 \$			Balance \$ 4,960,939
10													
11 12													
13						Cı	ration Hills Co	lle e					
14		2015	-2016	2016	5-2017	201	2018	2018	8-20 9	2019-3	2020	2020	-2021
15	Draft #	Excess	Fund	Excess	Fund	Excess	und	Excess	Land	Excess	Fund	Excess	Fund
16	EM	(Deficit) \$ (204,825)	Balance \$ (1,890,818)	(Deficit) \$ 403,033	Balance \$ (1,487,785)	(Def it) \$ 9. ,55.	<u>s</u> (196,249)	(Deficit) \$ 1,001,5 1	Balance 435,252	(Deficit) \$ 996,136 \$	Balance 1,431,387	(Deficit) \$ 962,583	Balance \$ 2,393,971
17		+ ()	+ (_///	+,	+ (=,,,			+ _,,.		++	_, ,	+	+ _//
18 19						n Y							
20							District Offi						
21		2015	-2016	2016	5-2017	2017	7-2018	11	8-2019	2019-3	2020	2020	-2021
22	Draft #	Excess	Fund	Excess	Func	Excess	Tind		Fund	Excess	Fund	Excess	Fund
22	EM	(Deficit) \$ (714.544)	Balance \$ 11,946,717	(Deficit) \$ (192,390)	Balance	(Deficit)		(Deficit)	Balance \$ 11,754,327	(Deficit) \$ - \$	Balance 11,754,327	(Deficit) ऽ	Balance \$ 11,754,327
24		Ş (717,377)	Ş 11,540,717	Ş (192,390)	Ş 1,754,52	Ť			Ş 11,754,527	Ý Ý	11,754,527	Ŷ	Ş 11,734,327
25 26					\mathbf{V}								
20							Totals						
28		2015	-2016	2016	-2017	17	7-2 8	2018	8-2019	2019-2	2020	2020	-2021
20	Draft #	Excess	Fund	Excess	Fund	Excess	Fund	Excess	Fund	Excess	Fund	Excess	Fund
29		(Deficit)	Balance	(Deficit)	Balan	(Deficit)	Balance	(Deficit)	Balance	(Deficit)	Balance	(Deficit)	Balance
30	EM	\$ (796,725)	\$ 13,691,315	\$ 336,794	\$ 1/ ,28,109	\$,409	\$ 14,981,518	\$ 1,241,874	\$ 16,223,392	\$ 1,399,178 \$	17,622,570	\$ 1,486,667	\$ 19,109,237
31	Fund Balance Percent		16.51%		46%		16.97%		17.67%		18.42%		19.15%
	Fund Balance												
32	to Cover Monthly		1.98		1.97		2.04		2.12		2.21		2.21
33	15% Fund		\$ 12,435,424		\$ 12,787,336		\$ 13,242,202		\$ 13,772,252	\$	14,349,225		\$ 14,965,241
34 35	Excess over		\$ 1,255,891		\$ 1,240,773		\$ 1,739,315		\$ 2,451,140	\$	3,273,345		\$ 4,143,996
_	Notes:												
37	All Data stated i	n 2014 Dollars											

San Bernardino Community College District

Multi-Year Forecast

Enrollment Management Task Force: FTES Planning Projection

			2015-16	Forecast					
		001/0	0110	Distint Office	District	001/0	0110	Distint Office	District
Secti	on A - State Base Revenue	SBVC	CHC	District Office	Total	SBVC	CHC	District Office	Total
1	Base Allocation Revenue per SB361 for Medium and Small Colleges	\$4,032,148	\$3,456,127		\$7,488,276	\$4,066,422	\$3,485,504		\$7,551,926
2	Credit FTES Percent Total College Funded Credit FTES	<u>69.40%</u> 10,302.00	<u>30.60%</u> 4,543.00		100.00% 14,845.00	<u>68.76%</u> 10,611.00	<u>31.24%</u> 4,822.00		<u>100.00%</u> 15,433.00
4	District Funded Rate Credit FTES per State Allocation				\$4,749.78				\$4,790.15
5	Credit Funding (multiply line 3 x 4)	\$48,932,226	\$21,578,247		\$70,510,473	\$50,828,307	\$23,098,115		\$73,926,421
10	Total State Base Revenue (add lines 1, 5, & 9)	\$52,964,374	\$25,034,374	\$0	\$77,998,748	\$54,894,728	\$26,583,619	\$0	\$81,478,347
11	Revenue Shortfall Percent				0.00%				0.00%
12	Revenue Shortfall Amount (multiply line 10 x 11)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
13	Adjusted State Base Revenue (line 10 minus line 12)	\$52,964,374	\$25,034,374	\$0	\$77,998,748	\$54,894,728	\$26,583,619	\$0	\$81,478,347
Secti	on B - Adjustments for Reconciliations Other Revenue Adjustment - Prior Year								
23	Funding	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
24 25	Other Adjustments (Property Taxes) Other Adjustments (Property Taxes) - Adjusted future year	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
26	Total State Revenue (add lines 13 - 24)	\$52,964,374	\$25,034,374	\$0	\$77,998,748	\$54,894,728	\$26,583,619	\$0	\$81,478,347
29	Change From Prev. Year State Base Revenue				\$3,845,741				\$3,479,599
	on C - Other Revenue College Part-time Faculty	\$210.066	\$90,707		\$309,773	\$219,066	\$90,70	\$0	\$300 773
<u>30</u> 31	College Part-time Faculty College Lottery Funds	\$219,066 \$1,297,074	\$90,707 \$537,066	\$0	\$309,773	\$219,066 \$1,297	\$90,70	\$0 \$0	\$309,773 \$1,834,140
32	College Interest Income	\$53,605	\$22,19	\$0	\$75,800	\$5,605	\$22,195	\$0	\$75,800
33	Other Campus Revenue per Campus Projections	\$796,703	\$692,0	\$0	\$1,488,774	\$79 70	\$69 071	\$0	\$1,488,774
34	Student Success Funding Support	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$192,390	\$0 -\$192,390	\$0 \$0
<u>35</u> 36	Unfunded FTES Funding Support Other Revenue	\$0 \$282,072	116,7	\$0	\$398,867	\$2	\$192,390	-\$192,390	\$398,867
39	Total College Revenue (add lines 25, 30- 36)	\$55,612,004	\$26, 11,152	-\$214,544	\$82 102		\$28,234,842	-\$192,390	
	on D - Assessments	2 000 0	4.72,00		45 000 00	44 044 00	4 007 00		45 500 00
40	Total College Actual Credit FTES	7,302.0			15,029.00	10,611.00	4,987.00		15,598.00
41	Percent for Assessments Assessment for District Office	-5 568,4	31.45%	\$ 2,958,42	100.0%	68.03% -\$9,831,583	31.97%	\$14,451,835	100.00%
43	Operations Expenditures Assessment for Property & Liabin	-\$ 1,300	-\$188,700	\$,000	\$0	-\$408,180	-\$191,820	\$600,000	\$0
48	Insurance Cost Assessment for EDCT Operations Expenditures	-\$89,115	- 0,885	\$130,0	\$0	-\$44,220	-\$20,781	\$65,000	\$0
49	Assessment for District Reserve	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Secti 50	on E - Individual Site Budgets Total Site Budget Allocation for Colleges (add lines 28, 29-33	\$45,547 980	\$22,0: 243	\$14,473,879	\$82,106,102	\$47,259,266	\$23,401,990	\$14,924,445	\$85,585,701
51	1000 - Academic Salaries	\$2,629 57	\$10,72 261	\$698,760	\$34,048,178	\$23,272,306	\$10,862,934	\$711,338	\$34,846,577
52	2000 - Classified Salaries	\$8,181,7	\$4.8 4,795	\$5,716,378	\$18,752,915	\$8,329,013	\$4,942,181	\$5,819,273	\$19,090,467
53 54	3000 - Benefits 4000 - Supplies	\$9,616,505 557,302	\$223,768 \$	\$2,707,280 \$245,722	\$17,293,494 \$1,026,792	\$10,515,585 \$562,039	\$5,410,509 \$225,670	\$2,978,008 \$247,811	\$18,904,102 \$1,035,520
55	5000 - Other Expenses and Services	1,296,294	\$1,490,831	\$4,417,448	\$10,204,572	\$4,332,812	\$1,503,503	\$4,454,996	\$10,291,311
56	6000 - Capital Outlay	\$ 9,336	\$53,704	\$302,835	\$476,875	\$121,359	\$54,161	\$305,409 \$600,000	\$480,929 \$600,000
57 58	7000 - Other Outgo Prior Year Expenditures	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$600,000 \$0	\$600,000 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$600,000 \$0	\$600,000 \$0
59	Site Budgeted / Projected Actual Expenditures	\$45,421,336			\$82,402,827			\$15,116,835	\$85,248,907
61 60	Program Review Excess/(Deficit) (line 35 minus line 43	\$122,644	-\$204,825	-\$214,544	\$0 - \$296,725	\$126,151	\$403,033	-\$192,390	\$0 \$336,794
Secti	plus line 44) on F - One-Time Adjustments & Fund								
	One-time Exp. (Adjust. to Fund Balance) - FY 13-14 GASB and KVCR, FY 14-15 KVCR Contribution.			-\$500,000	-\$500,000			\$0	\$0
72	Annual Increase/(Decrease) to Fund Balance	\$122,644	-\$204,825	-\$714,544	-\$796,725	\$126,151	\$403,033	-\$192,390	\$336,794
73	Site Fund Balance July 1, Year Beginning (Includes RDA)	\$3,512,771	-\$1,685,993	\$12,661,261	\$14,488,039	\$3,635,415	-\$1,890,818	\$11,946,717	\$13,691,315
74	Site Fund Balance June 30, Year Ending (line 45 plus lines 46-48)	\$3,635,415	-\$1,890,818	\$11,946,717	\$13,691,315	\$3,761,566	-\$1,487,785	\$11,754,327	\$14,028,109
80	Unrestricted Fund Balance				16.51% \$13,691,315				16.46% \$14,028,109
00	Uniesuluteu i uliu Dalalite				\$6,908,569				\$7,104,076
	hs to Cover Monthly Expenditures: ared by Jose F. Torres			4/16/2015	1.98				1.97 Page 1

San Bernardino Community College District

Multi-Year Forecast

Enrollment Management Task Force: FTES Planning Projection

			2017-18 F	orecast			2018-19	Forecast	District
		SBVC	CHC	District Office	District Total	SBVC	CHC	District Office	District Total
Secti	on A - State Base Revenue								
1	Base Allocation Revenue per SB361 for Medium and Small Colleges	\$4,100,986	\$3,515,131		\$7,616,117	\$4,135,845	\$3,545,010		\$7,680,854
2	Credit FTES Percent	68.11%	31.89%		100.00%	68.13%	31.87%		100.00%
3	Total College Funded Credit FTES	10,929.00	5,116.00		16,045.00	11,364.00	5,317.00		16,681.00
4	District Funded Rate Credit FTES per				\$4,830.87				\$4,871.93
5	State Allocation Credit Funding (multiply line 3 x 4)	\$52,796,563	\$24,714,724		\$77,511,287	\$55,364,624	\$25,904,057		\$81,268,681
10	Total State Base Revenue (add lines		\$28,229,855	¢0	\$85,127,405	\$59,500,469	\$29,449,067	\$0	\$88,949,536
	1, 5, & 9)	\$30,897,550	\$20,229,0 3 5	\$ 0		\$59,500,469	\$29,449,007	Ф О	
11	Revenue Shortfall Percent Revenue Shortfall Amount (multiply				0.00%				0.00%
12	line 10 x 11)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
13	Adjusted State Base Revenue (line 10	\$56,897,550	\$28,229,855	\$0	\$85,127,405	\$59,500,469	\$29,449,067	\$0	\$88,949,536
Sect	minus line 12) on B - Adjustments for Reconciliations								
23	Other Revenue Adjustment - Prior Year	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$(
	Funding								
_24	Other Adjustments (Property Taxes) Other Adjustments (Property Taxes) -	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
25	Adjusted future year								
26	Total State Revenue (add lines 13 - 24)	\$56 897 550	\$28,229,855	\$0	\$85,127,405	\$59,500,469	\$29,449,067	\$0	\$88,949,536
	Change From Prev. Year State Base	,,	,,0,000	¢0		,,,,,	,,	ψŬ	
29	Revenue				\$3,649,058				\$3,822,131
Secti	on C - Other Revenue								
30	College Part-time Faculty	\$219,066	\$90,707	\$0	\$309,773	\$219,066	\$90,7	\$0	\$309,77
<u>31</u> 32	College Lottery Funds College Interest Income	\$1,297,074 \$53,605	\$537,066 \$22,195	\$0 \$0	, 834,140 75,800	\$1,297,0 \$57_005	\$537,066	\$0 \$0	\$1,834,140 \$75,800
33	Other Campus Revenue per Campus		\$692,07	\$0	\$1,488,774	\$79			
	Projections	\$796,703						\$0	\$1,488,774
<u>34</u> 35	Student Success Funding Support Unfunded FTES Funding Support	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$(\$(
36	Other Revenue	\$282,072.31	16,75	\$0	\$398,867	\$28 \$28	\$116,795	\$0 \$0	\$398,86
39	Total College Revenue (add lines 25, 30-	\$59,546	\$29,6 1 89	\$0	\$89 255	\$ 148,989	\$30,907,900	\$0	\$93,056,890
	36)	\$59,540	φ29,0 109	\$0	\$85.09	\$ 140,909	\$30,907,900	\$U	\$93,030,690
	on D - Assessments				10 115 00	44000.00	5 202 00		40.750.00
40	Total College Actual Credit FTES	929.00	5 186.00		16,115.00	11,366.00	5,393.00		16,759.00
41	Percent for Assessments	6. 2%	32.18%		100.0%	67.82%	32.18%		100.00%
42	Assessment for District Office	-\$10, 55,88	-\$4,818,877	\$1 ,974,757	\$0	-\$10,488,320	-\$4,976,616	\$15,464,936	\$0
	Operations Expenditures Assessment for Property & Liability		· · · · · · · · · ·						
43	Insurance Cost	-\$4,920	-\$193,080	\$6, 000	\$0	-\$406,920	-\$193,080	\$600,000	\$0
48	Assessment for EDCT Operations	\$0	\$0	5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Expenditures Assessment for District Reserve	\$0	4- ¢¢		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	on E - Individual Site Budgets	\$0	\$P.		ф О	\$ 0		Φ Ο	φt
50	Total Site Budget Allocation for Colleges (add lines 28, 29-33	\$48,983 70	\$24,67, 732	15,574,757	\$89,234,759	\$51,253,749	\$25,738,204	\$16,064,936	\$93,056,890
<u>51</u>	1000 - Academic Salaries	\$2,931,0	\$11,008 75	\$724,142	\$35,663,356	\$24,733,711	\$11,326,266	\$737,176	\$36,797,153
51 52	2000 - Classified Salaries	\$8,478,95	\$5.02,141	\$5,924,020	\$19,434,096	\$8,631,556	\$5,121,701	\$6,030,652	\$19,783,910
53	3000 - Benefits	\$11,48 573	φυ,9 17,390	\$3,275,809	\$20,675,771	\$12,546,260	\$6,474,958	\$3,603,390	\$22,624,608
54	4000 - Supplies	\$ 56,817	\$227,588	\$249,917	\$1,044,322	\$571,635	\$229,522	\$252,041	\$1,053,198
55	5000 - Other Expenses and Services	, 369,641	\$1,516,283	\$4,492,864	\$10,378,787	\$4,406,783	\$1,529,171	\$4,531,053	\$10,467,007
56	6000 - Capital Outlay	\$1,390	\$54,621	\$308,005	\$485,017	\$123,430	\$55,085	\$310,624	\$489,139
57	7000 - Other Outgo	\$0	\$0	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$0	\$0	\$600,000	\$600,000
58	Prior Year Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$(
59	Site Budgeted / Projected Actual Expenditures	\$48,951,397	\$23,755,196	\$15,574,757	\$88,281,349	\$51,013,376	\$24,736,703	\$16,064,936	\$91,815,015
61	Program Review				\$0				\$(
	Excess/(Deficit) (line 35 minus line 43	\$31,873	\$921,536	\$0	\$953,409	\$240,374	\$1,001,501	\$0	\$1,241,874
60		+,	<i> </i>		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	4_10,011	<i>•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••</i>		+-,,+-
	plus line 44)							_	
Secti	on F - One-Time Adjustments & Fund One-time Exp. (Adjust. to Fund Balance) - FY 13-14 GASB and KVCR, FY 14-15			\$0	\$0			\$0	\$0
Secti 71	on F - One-Time Adjustments & Fund One-time Exp. (Adjust. to Fund Balance) - FY 13-14 GASB and KVCR, FY 14-15 KVCR Contribution.								
Secti	on F - One-Time Adjustments & Fund One-time Exp. (Adjust. to Fund Balance) - FY 13-14 GASB and KVCR, FY 14-15	\$31,873	\$921,536	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$953,409	\$240,374	\$1,001,501	\$0 \$0	
Secti 71	on F - One-Time Adjustments & Fund One-time Exp. (Adjust. to Fund Balance) - FY 13-14 GASB and KVCR, FY 14-15 KVCR Contribution. Annual Increase/(Decrease) to Fund	\$31,873 \$3,761,566			\$953,409	\$240,374 \$3,793,440	\$1,001,501 -\$566,249		\$1,241,87
Secti 71 72	on F - One-Time Adjustments & Fund One-time Exp. (Adjust. to Fund Balance) - FY 13-14 GASB and KVCR, FY 14-15 KVCR Contribution. Annual Increase/(Decrease) to Fund Balance Site Fund Balance July 1, Year		-\$1,487,785	\$0	\$953,409 \$14,028,109			\$0	\$1,241,87 4 \$14,981,518
Secti 71 72 73 74	on F - One-Time Adjustments & Fund One-time Exp. (Adjust. to Fund Balance) - FY 13-14 GASB and KVCR, FY 14-15 KVCR Contribution. Annual Increase/(Decrease) to Fund Balance Site Fund Balance July 1, Year Beginning (Includes RDA) Site Fund Balance June 30, Year Ending (line 45 plus lines 46-48)	\$3,761,566	-\$1,487,785	\$0 \$11,754,327	\$953,409 \$14,028,109 \$14,981,518 16.97%	\$3,793,440	-\$566,249	\$0 \$11,754,327	\$1,241,874 \$14,981,518 \$16,223,392 17.67%
Secti 71 72 73	on F - One-Time Adjustments & Fund One-time Exp. (Adjust. to Fund Balance) - FY 13-14 GASB and KVCR, FY 14-15 KVCR Contribution. Annual Increase/(Decrease) to Fund Balance Site Fund Balance July 1, Year Beginning (Includes RDA) Site Fund Balance June 30, Year	\$3,761,566	-\$1,487,785	\$0 \$11,754,327	\$953,409 \$14,028,109 \$14,981,518	\$3,793,440	-\$566,249	\$0 \$11,754,327	\$(\$1,241,874 \$14,981,518 \$16,223,392 17.67% \$16,223,392 \$7,651,257

San Bernardino Community College District

Multi-Year Forecast

Enrollment Management Task Force: FTES Planning Projection

			2019-20 Fo	orecast			2020-21	Forecast	D
		SBVC	CHC	District Office	District Total	SBVC	CHC	District Office	District Total
Secti	on A - State Base Revenue								
1	Base Allocation Revenue per SB361 for Medium and Small Colleges	\$4,170,999	\$3,575,142		\$7,746,142	\$4,206,453	\$3,605,531		\$7,811,984
2	Credit FTES Percent	68.12%	31.88%		100.00%	68.12%	31.88%		100.00%
3	Total College Funded Credit FTES	11,814.00	5,528.00		17,342.00	12,282.00	5,747.00		18,029.00
4	District Funded Rate Credit FTES per State Allocation				\$4,913.34				\$4,955.11
5	Credit Funding (multiply line 3 x 4)	\$58,046,228	\$27,160,957		\$85,207,185	\$60,858,610	\$28,476,993		\$89,335,603
10	Total State Base Revenue (add lines	\$62,217,227	\$30,736,099	\$0	\$92,953,326	\$65,065,063	\$32,082,524	\$0	\$97,147,587
11	1, 5, & 9) Revenue Shortfall Percent				0.00%				0.00%
12	Revenue Shortfall Amount (multiply	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	line 10 x 11) Adjusted State Base Revenue (line 10					· · ·	· · ·		· ·
13	minus line 12)	\$62,217,227	\$30,736,099	\$0	\$92,953,326	\$65,065,063	\$32,082,524	\$0	\$97,147,587
	on B - Adjustments for Reconciliations Other Revenue Adjustment - Prior Year								
23	Funding	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$C
24	Other Adjustments (Property Taxes)	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
25	Other Adjustments (Property Taxes) - Adjusted future year								
26	Total State Revenue (add lines 13 - 24)	\$62,217,227	\$30,736,099	\$0	\$92,953,326	\$65,065,063	\$32,082,524	\$0	\$97,147,587
29	Change From Prev. Year State Base Revenue				\$4,003,790		Κ		\$4,194,261
-	on C - Other Revenue	0010.000			0000 775				
<u>30</u> 31	College Part-time Faculty College Lottery Funds	\$219,066 \$1,297,074	\$90,707 \$537,066	\$0	\$309,773 834,140	\$219,066 \$1,297	\$90,7 \$537,066	\$0 \$0	\$309,773 \$1,834,140
32		\$53,605	\$22,19	\$0	\$75,800	\$5,605	\$22,195	\$0	\$75,800
33	Other Campus Revenue per Campus	\$796,703	\$692,07	\$0	\$1,488,774	\$79. 70	\$6 2,071	\$0	\$1,488,774
34	Projections Student Success Funding Support			\$0		\$0.	\$0		
35		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
36	Other Revenue	\$282,072.31	116,7	\$0	\$398,867	\$2 3	\$116,795	\$0	\$398,867
39	Total College Revenue (add lines 25, 30-36)	\$64,865	\$32,333	\$0	\$97 680	,713,583	\$33,541,358	\$0	\$101,254,941
Secti	on D - Assessments								
40	Total College Actual Credit FTES	1 821.0	5.605.00		17,430.00	12,294.00	5,833.00		18,127.00
41	Percent for Assessments	,2%	32.18%		100.0%	67.82%	32.18%		100.00%
42	Assessment for District Office Operations Expenditures	-\$1-344,6	-\$5,145,708	\$,990,397	\$0	-\$11,227,224	-\$5,327,220	\$16,554,444	\$0
43	Assessment for Property & Liability Insurance Cost	-\$4 6,920	-\$193,089	\$000	\$0	-\$406,920	-\$193,080	\$600,000	\$0
48	Assessment for EDCT Operations Expenditures	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Assessment for District Reserve on E - Individual Site Budgets	\$0	.¢	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
50	Total Site Budget Allocation for Colleges (add lines 28, 29-33	\$53,614 43	\$26,83 144	16,590,393	\$97,060,680	\$56,079,439	\$28,021,058	\$17,154,444	\$101,254,941
51	1000 - Academic Salaries	\$6,562 47	\$11,725538	\$750,445	\$38,041,731	\$26,417,942	\$12,143,048	\$763,954	\$39,324,943
52	2000 - Classified Salaries	\$8,786,9	\$5,2 3,892	\$6,139,204		\$8,945,089	\$5,307,742	\$6,249,709	\$20,502,540
53	3000 - Benefits	\$13,75,316	\$7,088,283 \$221,472	\$3,963,729	\$24,768,327	\$15,003,377	\$7,762,941	\$4,360,102	\$27,126,419
	4000 - Supplies	76,494	\$231,473	\$254,184	\$1,062,151	\$581,394	\$233,441	\$256,344	\$1,071,179
55	5000 - Other Expenses and Services	444,241	\$1,542,169	\$4,569,567	\$10,555,977	\$4,482,017	\$1,555,277	\$4,608,408	\$10,645,702
<u>56</u> 57	6000 - Capital Outlay 7000 - Other Outgo	\$,480	\$55,554	\$313,264	\$493,297 \$600,000	\$125,538	\$56,026	\$315,927 \$600,000	\$497,490
58	Prior Year Expenditures	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$000,000	\$000,000	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$000,000	\$600,000 \$0
59	Site Budgeted / Projected Actual Expenditures			\$16,590,393		\$55,555,356	\$27,058,475	\$17,154,444	\$99,768,274
61	Program Review Excess/(Deficit) (line 35 minus line 43				\$0				\$0
60	plus line 44)	\$403,042	\$996,136	\$0	\$1,399,178	\$524,084	\$962,583	\$0	\$1,486,667
	on F - One-Time Adjustments & Fund One-time Exp. (Adjust. to Fund Balance)								
71	- FY 13-14 GASB and KVCR, FY 14-15 KVCR Contribution.			\$0	\$0			\$0	\$0
72	Annual Increase/(Decrease) to Fund Balance	\$403,042	\$996,136	\$0	\$1,399,178	\$524,084	\$962,583	\$0	\$1,486,667
73	Site Fund Balance July 1, Year Beginning (Includes RDA)	\$4,033,813	\$435,252	\$11,754,327	\$16,223,392	\$4,436,856	\$1,431,387	\$11,754,327	\$17,622,570
74	Site Fund Balance June 30, Year Ending (line 45 plus lines 46-48)	\$4,436,856	\$1,431,387	\$11,754,327	\$17,622,570	\$4,960,939	\$2,393,971	\$11,754,327	\$19,109,237
					18.42%				19.15%
80	Unrestricted Fund Balance								\$10 100 227
80	Unrestricted Fund Balance				\$17,622,570 \$7,971,792				\$19,109,237 \$8,314,023



San Bernardino Valley College

Office of Instruction

Enrollment Management FTES Projection

	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015	2015 - 2016	2016 - 2017	2017 - 2018	Note
Total Number of Section (SM2, FA,SP, SM1)	2,748	3,110	3172	3235	3300	Increase sec by 2% using 2014-2015 as base
Average Fill Rate (SM2, FA,SP, SM1)	92%	86%	86%	86%	86%	Increase by 2% from CBT Data (84)
Total Number of FTES (SM2, FA,SP, SM1)	9,902	10,100	10,302	10,508	10,718	Increase FTES by 2% using 2013 - 2014

Note: Upon review of data as it relates to the identified strategies described below and emerging needs; fill rate and number of sections will be adjusted accordingly to reach our targeted FTES.



San Bernardino Valley College

Office of Instruction

Strategies

Scheduling:

- In building the schedule:
 - a. review wait list data in adding sections on demand
 - b. use wait list data in planning for future semesters
 - c. review fill rate, meeting patterns and retention data for courses and programs
 - d. Based on data strategize on programs that are low enrolled

Offering patterns:

- Offer courses that meet:
 - a. associate degree and certificate major <u>requirements</u>. Many of these courses also meet GE requirements
 - b. Transfer <u>requirements</u> for majors at the CSU and UC. Transfer to the UC and CSU is legislated as a high priority for CCCs. Many of the courses required for majors, also meet GE requirements for IGETC, CSUGE, and the SBVC GE graduation requirements.
 - c. SBVC program prerequisite requirements
 - d. Courses that work towards restoring programs that are clearly are part of the educational mission of SBVC, but have been disproportionately hard hit by previous rounds of cuts
 - e. Basic Skills (English, math, and reading)
 - f. New courses and/or program design to meet emerging needs

Marketing:

- Strategies to reach service areas and beyond
- Develop proactive marketing plan
- Targeted marketing for students on campus
- Celebrate benchmark milestones (ex: one week before census, half way through the semester, before withdrawal date, etc.)
- Focus group that systematically study gaps in student engagement (on and off campus), target student needs based on the results of the study

Student support:

- Provide targeted student support such as SI, tutoring, counseling, etc.
- Campaign for a FAFSA renewal week
- Celebrate benchmark milestones (ex: one week before census, half way through the semester, before withdrawal date, etc.)

Facilities:

• Keep classroom furniture at max capacity

Budget:

• Incrementally expand faculty, staff, supplies and other operational costs

San Bernardino Valley College provides quality education and services that support a diverse community of learners. 4/16/2015



To: Crafton Hills Campus Community

- **CC:** Cheryl Marshall, Ed.D.
- From: Mike Strong, Denise Allen and Bryan Reece, Ph.D.
- Date: February 4, 2015
- Topic: <u>Draft Growth Plan</u>

We need your comments to make sure this plan is excellent. Send comments to Mike, Denise or Bryan. Discuss this plan in your upcoming meetings.

1.0 5,000+ Funded FTES

At our current size, Crafton is operating in a structural deficit. This means we have annual expenses that exceed our annual income. We will remain in this situation until we grow to a college that serves 5,000-5,400 fulltime equivalent students (FTES) AND receive full funding for these students. Below is the general strategy we propose for growth.

	13-14			14-15			15-16			16-17			17-18			18-19		
	Sctns	Fill	FTES															
Summer ¹	51	85.1%	231	53	70.2%	164	53	74.5%	174	53	80.0%	187	53	85.0%	199	53	87.0%	203
Fall	596	86.5%	2,063	731	80.9%	2,186	765	83.0%	2,347	770	85.0%	2,419	770	87.0%	2,476	770	87.0%	2,476
Spring	672	73.5%	1,970	783	72.8%	2,050	750	77.0%	2,077	750	82.0%	2,212	750	87.0%	2,347	750	87.0%	2,347
Summer ²	77	70.2%	239	77	74.5%	254	77	80.0%	272	77	85.0%	289	77	87.0%	296	77	87.0%	296
Total	1,396	78.8%	4,503	1,644	74.6%	4,654	1,645	78.6%	4,870	1,650	83.0%	5,107	1,650	86.5%	5,318	1,650	87.0%	5,322
Annual Increase	NA	NA	NA	248	-4.2%	151	1	4.0%	217	5	4.4%	237	0	3.5%	210	0	0.5%	5

¹Includes portion of Summer FTES applied after 7/1 and EMS/RadTech carryover (usually at 33 FTES)

²Includes portion of Summer FTES applied before 6/30 and F Factor Adjustment (usually at 8 FTES)

2.0 Systems/Infrastructure Strategies

To reach our growth targets, there are several systems and infrastructure issues we need to address.

- Agreement—While we have verbal agreements on distributing growth funding disproportionately to Crafton during this ramp-up period, we need to get the agreement (and associated details) in writing.
- Schedule—As we build schedules, we need to hold our hourly/overload budgets close to flat by keeping schedule sizes (number of courses) at minimal growth.
- Fill—We need to accommodate enrollment growth through improved fill rates rather than increased sections. By taking this approach, we ensure that Crafton's budget remains as flat as possible while we improve our fill rates over the next five years.
- Caps—We need to finalize caps for all courses this year. Our general approach should be to establish caps as the lowest level we can afford. Small caps are good pedagogically, but there is a budget reality on how low we can go.
- Funding—The growth funding that will be coming to Crafton needs to be used to reduce unfunded FTES and help implement targeted growth strategies
- Construction—We need to make sure our new construction projects are completed successfully. They will add significant instructional space to the College.

3.0 Student Recruitment Strategies

To reach our growth targets, there are several recruitment activities we need to implement.

- Student Demand—We need to build our schedules around student demand. This means we need to watch fill rates and wait lists closely and respond accordingly.
- BA Degree—We need to implement the Crafton bachelor's degree with our first cohort to arrive in Fall 2017.
- Capture Rate—We have too many students in our area choosing to attend other community colleges. We need to develop a campaign to reverse this trend (place emphasis on Redlands).
- Athletics—With the development of an athletics program, we will bring new fulltime students to the campus.
- Online Courses—Online classes are very popular. We need to expand online offerings and think about developing and online AA degree.

Section 3.0:

Mention something like...since our growth will be primarily from fill rates and we will be capturing additional funding from the state, we believe that we can incorporate these student recruitment strategies as budget permits.

4.0 Pending Student Recruitment Discussions

- Non-Credit—With non-credit units, we may be able increase our course/section offerings. Many details need to be worked out.
- Concurrent Enrollment—We currently offer a limited number of concurrent enrollment courses at surrounding high schools. Should we expand this approach?
- Middle College—We have currently paused the development of a middle college. The Academic Senate will "explore the idea of and address the concerns" in future deliberations.