

DISTRICT ENROLLMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (DEMC) Recommendation 2016-01

Date: February 8, 2016

To: Chancellor's Cabinet, District Budget Committee, & Dr. Lisa Norman, Vice

Chancellor of Human Resources

From: Jose Torres, District Enrollment Management Committee Chair

Subject: DEMC Recommendation 2016-01 – FTES Projections for 2016-17

In an effort to facilitate critical, integrated and timely development of the colleges' Fall 2016 schedule, the DEMC has developed FTES projections for 2016-17. The projections are offered with the following provisions:

1. They are the basis for development of the Fall 2016 schedules and marketing plans.

- 2. They will be monitored closely and revised if necessary.
- 3. They should inform the DBC in the development of the 2016-17 Resource Allocation Model as indicated on the attached Exhibit A.
- 4. They should figure prominently in the development of the district's staffing plan.

These forecasts were established after deliberation of current and prior year data, information garnered at the January 20 State Budget Workshop, and the district's need to set in motion plans for staffing and marketing for the coming term. They were unanimously approved by the DEMC at its January 25, 2016 meeting.

	From State			Additional		Total		
	Growth	Actual	Funded	Growth	Overcap	Funded	Unfunded	Notes
San Bernardino Valley College								
15-16	5.90%	10,714	10,714	0.00%	-	10,714	-	
16-17	2.00%	10,928	10,928	0.00%	-	10,928	-	SBVC to grow 2% as stated in the State budget
Crafton Hills College								
15-16	3.11%	4,743	4,617	0.00%	69	4,686	57	
16-17	2.00%	5,010	4,780	3.63%	153	4,933	77	CHC to grow in order to reach established goal of 5,010
San Bernard	dino Communit	y College	District					
15-16	7.44%	15,457	15,331	0.50%	69	15,400	57	Unfunded FTES funded from District Reserves
16-17	2.00%	15,938	15,708	1.00%	153	15,861	77	Unfunded FTES funded from District Reserves

Attachments

- Exhibit A: FTES Projections to Inform RAM Guidelines for 2016-17
- Exhibit B: Enrollment Management FTES Projections By College



DISTRICT ENROLLMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (DEMC) Recommendation 2016-01 – Exhibit A

FTES Projections to Inform Resource Allocation Model (RAM) Guidelines for 2016-17

Revenues shall be divided between San Bernardino Valley College and Crafton Hills College, in accordance with the following principles. These guidelines accord best with the desired objectives of transparency, fairness, and ease of understanding; and have the flexibility to adjust to changing circumstances, without the need for extensive debate and readjustment every fiscal year.

- 1. The SB361 State Base Allocation revenue for each college shall be passed directly on to that college.
- 2. The district's non-credit FTES allocation revenue shall be passed directly to the college that produced the non-credit FTES.
- 3. The district's state credit FTES allocation revenue shall be divided between the two colleges as follows:

Valley

- a. 10,928 total projected funded FTES
- b. San Bernardino Valley College will carry any excess over 10,928 as Unfunded FTES
- c. 69.57% of district total funded FTES of 15.708

Crafton Hills

- a. 4,780 total projected funded FTES
- All district unfunded FTES will be carried by Crafton Hills College (230 projected unfunded FTES)
- c. 30.43% of district total funded FTES of 15,708
- 4. Overcap funding for credit FTES (Overcap is additional FTES the district could recapture if other districts do not grow enough during the year. It is usually known at recalculation [Recalc] around February of each year.)

Valley Crafton Hills

No additional Overcap since Valley will be fully funded for the credit FTES

Overcap will be absorbed by Crafton since it carries all unfunded FTES (projected overcap of 153 FTES)

- 5. Other eligible revenues received by the district shall be divided between the two colleges in accordance with the relative FTES numbers achieved by the colleges as in item 3. above.
- 6. Site-specific revenues will remain with the college concerned.
- 7. District growth levels/targets may be recommended by District Budget Committee and approved/modified by Chancellor's Cabinet.
- 8. Districtwide assessments shall be divided between the two colleges based on FY 2016-17 projected <u>actual</u> FTES (not funded FTES).

Valley

10,928 actual FTES 68.57% of district total of 15,938

Crafton Hills

5,010 actual FTES 31.43% district total of 15,938

February 8, 2016 Page 1 of 1

DISTRICT ENROLLMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (DEMC) Recommendation 2016-01 – Exhibit B

Goals:

- 1 Budget State growth to both colleges for growth and financial stability
- 2 Provide Crafton additional growth to achieve financial stability
- 3 Provide Valley additional growth to maintain financial stability
- 4 Distribution of FTES are recommended to Chancellor's Cabinet by District Budget Committtee

4 Distribution of FTES are recommended to Chancellor's Cabinet by District Budget Committee San Bernardino Valley College										
	From State Growth			Additional Growth	Juli Dei	maramo vancy	conege			
Fiscal Year	(Goal 1)	Actual	Funded	(Goal 3)	Overcap *	Total Funded	Unfunded	Notes		
13-14		9,902	9,502		-	9,502	400			
14-15	2.00%	10,117	10,117	0.17%	-	10,117	-			
15-16	5.90%	10,714	10,714	0.00%	-	10,714	-			
16-17	2.00%	10,928	10,928	0.00%	-	10,928	-	SBVC to grow 2% as stated in the State budget		
17-18	2.00%	11,256	11,147	1.00%	109	11,256	-	Additional growth is split to both colleges as equal percentages		
18-19	2.00%	11,594	11,481	1.00%	111	11,592	2	Additional growth is split to both colleges as equal percentages		
19-20	2.00%	11,942	11,824	1.00%	115	11,939	3	Additional growth is split to both colleges as equal percentages		
20-21	2.00%	12,300	12,178	1.00%	118	12,296	4	Additional growth is split to both colleges as equal percentages		
					Cr	afton Hills Colle	ge			

			Crafton Hills College								
		From State Growth			Additional Growth						
Fis	cal Year	(Goal 1)	Actual	Funded	(Goal 2)	Overcap *	Total Funded	Unfunded	Notes		
	13-14		4,499	4,072		-	4,072	427			
	14-15	2.00%	4,600	3,728	0.24%	424	4,152	448			
	15-16	3.11%	4,743	4,617	0.00%	69	4,686	57			
	16-17	2.00%	5,010	4,780	3.63%	153	4,933	77	CHC to grow in order to reach the established 5,010		
	17-18	2.00%	5,160	5,031	1.00%	48	5,079	81	Additional growth is split to both colleges as equal percentages		
	18-19	2.00%	5,315	5,181	1.00%	51	5,232	83	Additional growth is split to both colleges as equal percentages		
	19-20	2.00%	5,474	5,336	1.00%	52	5,388	86	Additional growth is split to both colleges as equal percentages		
	20-21	2.00%	5,638	5,496	1.00%	54	5,550	88	Additional growth is split to both colleges as equal percentages		

	San Bernardino Community College District From State								
	Growth			Additional Growth					
Fiscal Year	(Goal 1)	Actual	Funded	(Goals 2 & 3)	Overcap *	Total Funded	Unfunded	Notes	
13-14		14,401	13,574		-	13,574	827		
14-15	2.00%	14,717	13,845	3.12%	424	14,269	448		
15-16	7.44%	15,457	15,331	0.50%	69	15,400	57	Unfunded FTES funded from District Reserves	
16-17	2.00%	15,938	15,708	1.00%	153	15,861	77	Unfunded FTES funded from District Reserves	
17-18	2.00%	16,416	16,178	1.00%	157	16,335	81		
18-19	2.00%	16,909	16,662	1.00%	162	16,824	85		
19-20	2.00%	17,416	17,160	1.00%	167	17,327	89		
20-21	2.00%	17,938	17,674	1.00%	172	17,846	92		

Notes:

February 8, 2016 Page 1 of 1

^{*} Overcap is the additional FTES the District could recapture if other Districts do not grow enough during the year. Overcap is usually known at recalculation (Recalc) around February of each year.

^{*} Overcap FTES are estimates based on ACBO budget workshops and/or other information received by the District