

Budget Committee

May 12, 2016, 2:00 pm, PDC 104

Attendance

Members Present – Walt Chatfield, Girija Raghavan, Denise Allen-Hoyt, Larry Strong, Mike Strong, Jeremy Sims, Jose Torres, Rosemarie Hansen

Members Absent – Cheryl Marshall, Thomas Robles, Esmeralda Vasquez, Sheri Lillard, Ruby Zuniga, Debbie Bogh, Lisa Norman, Achala Chatterjee, Gloria Fisher, Rhonda Prater, Scott Stark, Yendis Battle, Matthew Isaac, Steve Sutorus

Guests Present - Tenille Alexander

Welcome/Introductions

Jose Torres opened the meeting at 2:09 p.m. No introductions were necessary.

Approval of Minutes

Committee members reviewed the minutes from April 15, 2016. Jeremy Sims made a motion, which Rosemarie Hansen seconded, to approve the minutes. The committee unanimously approved the motion.

2016-17 Budget Tasks & DBC Schedule

Jose advised that the tentative budget was not available for review at this meeting because the Governor has not released the May revise. It will, however, be sent out via email to DBC members at the earliest opportunity (hopefully by Tuesday, May 17). Jose advised that the colleges are done with their development budgets; the expenditures are complete and we are just waiting on the revenue side. There were no questions or concerns.

Revised BOT 2016-17 Budget Directives (Anticipated Approval May 26, 2016)

The committee discussed the revised BOT directives for 2016-17. Jose advised that the BOT Budget Ad-Hoc Committee had discussed the need to change its directives. He reviewed all the changes including #4 where the directive for fund balance changed from 15% to 12%. Denise Allen-Hoyt asked for clarification of #6 and it was confirmed that this directive is continue on the path of KVCR becoming self-sufficient.

DBC Recommendation 2016-04: Funding of Prioritized Program Review Needs & Revised RAM Guidelines (Draft)

Jose went over DBC Recommendation 2016-04 which had been emailed to committee members previously for their review. He stated that this recommendation represented an effort toward avoiding the perception of summer magic. Last year the DBC determined that a list of possible

expenditures should be prepared so that if and when the state advised additional revenue, its use would be predetermined. Denise asked if the program review for district services should be reviewed. Jose advised that the prioritized list of program review needs is submitted to Chancellor's Cabinet, which includes the college presidents, for discussion on which needs are the most important. Denise stated that the funding for SBCCD comes from the colleges and they should be able to say how they want money spent; she is not convinced that Chancellor's Cabinet is the right place.

Jeremy Sims advised that next year the District Services Planning & Program Review Committee (DSPPR) will have representatives from throughout SBCCD and that this has been presented at two of the committees he's on. Denise felt that this was a good thing and Mike Strong agreed that it is good that the campuses will have input in this process.

The fourth bullet point of the recommendation gives the DBC input on how much funding goes to district services, SBVC and CHC. Jose commented that this process is still under development. He reiterated the previous meeting's discussion that it is not the DBC's role to reprioritize the work of the DSPPR; rather, the DBC can provide input regarding the percent of funds that goes to the different sites. Mike commented that the problem is that the college's highest priority may not be the highest priority on the district list. He asked about considering all the priorities together. Denise added that CHC would not want to fund needs they don't believe in at the cost of money to the campuses. She went on to say that this process is light years ahead last year's procedures.

Denise felt bullet 3 outlining the district service's collegial process needs to be better synced throughout SBCCD. She wants to make sure the colleges understand and support any and all district priorities. For example, is a district programmer more important that three custodians at the college? Mike stated that he doesn't have a problem with how the recommendation is written although there will have to be improvements to the process over the year. He made the motion to approve recommendation 2016-04 which Denise seconded. The motion was unanimously approved. Jose made the commitment again to remain transparent and get SBCCD where it needs to be.

DBC Recommendation 2016-05: RAM Assumptions for 2016-17 (Draft)

Committee members reviewed recommendation 2016-05 on assumptions for building the tentative budget. Jose commented that the assumptions are based on what is currently known. He reviewed each assumption. Funded growth may change at the May revised. He will advise in his email next week what the changes are. COLA is .47 % and may change. Block grant initially was going to be almost double that of 2015-16 but it is felt this may change as well since the community college districts need one-time money. Salary negotiations are still underway. Mike made a motion which Denise seconded to approve this recommendation. The motion approved unanimously.

Program Review - Prioritized Needs (Not Yet Finalized)

Committee members felt this topic had been fully discussed in its review of DBC Recommendation 2016-04. There were no further comments.

Committee Evaluation

Evaluation forms were distributed and members asked to complete them. There will also be a link online.

Updates

The accreditation report has not been published yet and probably will not be public until the end of June.

Adjournment & Next Meeting

Jose publicly thanked Walt Chatfield because he is retiring and will no longer be on the DBC. His dedication and wisdom will be missed. Walt told DBC that he had personally reported to his division that SBCCD is more transparent than ever before. He advised that people in his division complimented Jose and stated that he is an ally and an asset.

The meeting adjourned. The next meeting of the DBC is scheduled for June 16, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. in PDC 104.