



District Budget Committee (DBC)

Members Present:, Jose Torres, Scott Stark, Kevin Horan, Diana Rodriguez, Jeremiah Gilbert, Mark McConnell, Rania Hamdy, Celia Huston, Naomi Lara, Girija Raghavan, Stacey Esparza Serrano/Sofiya Herrera, Yendis Battle, Larry Strong, Rosemarie Hansen, Shari Blackwell

Members Absent:, Mike Strong, Leen Alkaddumi, Jeremy Sims, Richard Galope, Steve Sutorus, Meridyth McLaren, Kristina Hannon,

Guests: Tenille Alexander, David James, Dina Humble

Meeting Minutes – 9/19/2019, 2:00 pm, Boardroom

A. Welcome & Introductions

Jose Torres called the meeting was called to order at 2:03 pm. No introductions were necessary.

B. Approval of Minutes of 8/15/19

Shari Blackwell made a motion, which Rose Hansen seconded, to approve the minutes. On behalf of Mike Strong, Scott Stark requested that several comments be added which Mike had recalled making. Specifically, under C. Current Business, Draft of Final Budget:

“Mike Strong shared that looking at the multi-year forecasts, in the 4000s, 5000s, and 6000s, it appears that the status of projects for building expenses stay the same. We will be working on projects and expanding with new buildings and we do not have anything that reflects the true cost of these additions, *i.e. additional supplies and services to support the FTES growth and additional sections such as additional classified staff, additional adjunct faculty, and more of an inflation factor.*”

A vote was taken and the minutes were approved with the recommended changes.

C. Current Business

1. Review of Charge

Committee members reviewed the existing charge. This is normally done at the start of each fiscal year. Rania questioned if the charge needed the sentence, “*The DBC is neither a decision-making body nor is it intended to undermine or replace the budget allocation processes of the colleges.*”

Jose took a moment to welcome Stacey Esparza and Sofiya Herrera would be acting as joint appointees for the SBVC student body.

Celia Huston recalled that the language had been added with the intent to put the colleges at ease about the possibility of the DBC impacting expenditure of their funds. Jeremiah Gilbert pointed out that item 4. indicates that the DBC makes advisory recommendations. Jeremiah Gilbert recalls that at one point everyone thought this body was the one that made recommendations to the Chancellor. The Chancellor’s Cabinet is the body that makes recommendations to the Board.

Celia felt it would be beneficial for the charge to retain some reference that the DBC does not replace allocation processes. Various other language was suggested, such as:

- › “*Colleges continue to have their own allocation processes.*”

- › *“College budgets and processes remain independent and autonomous.”*
- › *“The DBC supports the college processes.”*

Jose asked DBC members to send him their recommendations and to be included on the next agenda.

2. Student Centered Funding Formula (SCFF)

a) Review of the Data

Jose distributed a white paper and explained SBCCD’s intent to take action in response to the state’s implementation of the new SCFF. SBCCD will be advocating that the current process be changed. He reviewed the disparity on page 3. The State is protecting the districts that will not perform well under the new SCFF. Chancellor Bruce Baron is working to send a letter to state chancellor’s office, and possibly the Governor, from the combined Region 9 colleges. Jose encouraged DBC members to take this information back to their constituent groups.

Jeremiah containing SCFF data by college. He advised that there are still major issues with data from the State and the Vision for Success. Committee members reviewed the handout. SBCCD Institutional Effectiveness staff will continually try to fine tune it. Final 2018-19 data will be available in January and they will run the reports again. These numbers will be used to break down revenues by college.

b) Multi-Year Forecast (MYF)

Committee members reviewed the 2018-19 MYF. Since implementation of the SCFF, SBCCD revenue had to use a blended rate for resource allocation. Now that the data has been developed by SBCCD staff, we are able to re-introduce distribution using the percentages. For example, \$9,451,100 can be allocated to each campus based on the percentages from the research group.

Celia pointed out that the percentages on line 17 of the 2019-20 MYF do not match page 2 of Jeremiah’s handout. It was explained that those numbers are based on P2, whereas the numbers in the MYF are based on P3. It’s just the top portion which deals with FTES that will change. The District is targeted to have no unfunded FTES.

D. Quarterly Districtwide Communications

Committee members discussed the suggestion from an earlier meeting that quarterly emails linking people to the DBC website would be helpful in promoting clarity and transparency. It was decided that, although the monthly Chancellor’s Chat includes a link to the collegial committee page, it would still be beneficial for the DBC to send out a quarterly communication.

E. Future Business

1. DBC Meetings at Campus Locations

Jose advised that, in addition to quarterly emails, Business & Fiscal Services staff will take steps to have the DBC hosted at each of the campuses once per year.

No further future business was discussed.

F. Adjournment

Due to the District Support Services move to a new location on Hospitality Lane, it was decided that the October meeting would be cancelled. Therefore, the next meeting is scheduled to take place on November 21 at 2 p.m. at the new office building. The meeting adjourned at 3:11 p.m.